EXHIBIT 13

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

ORACLE CORPORATION, a
Delaware corporation, ORACLE
USA, INC., A Colorado
Corporation, and ORACLE
INTERNATIONAL CORPORATION,
A California corporation

Plaintiffs,

Vs.

Case No. 07-CV-01685 PJH (EDL)

SAP AG, a German corporation,)
SAP AMERICA, INC., a Delaware)
Corporation, TOMORROWNOW,)
INC., a Texas corporation,)
And DOES 1-50, inclusive,)

Defendant.

VIDEOTAPED DEPOSITION UPON
ORAL EXAMINATION
OF
LEO APOTHEKER

Taken on:

Thursday, October 2, 2008 Commencing at 8:58 a.m.

Taken at:

American Consulate General Special Consular Services, Giessener Strasse 30 60435 Frankfurt am Main, Germany

REPORTED BY: FREDERICK WEISS, CSR, CM

Page 58 09:44:50 1 09:44:53 09:44:55 09:44:56 09:45:00 5 09:45:04 6 09:45:08 7 09:45:11 8 09:45:13 9 O. Is Oracle a focus of SAP's 09:45:16 10 09:45:25 11 competitive work in the field? A. As much as they are a competitor, 09:45:30 12 09:45:34 13 yes. Q. Are they SAP's number one 09:45:34 14 09:45:38 15 competitor? A. They are our largest competitor, 09:45:38 16 09:45:40 17 yes. 09:45:40 18 09:45:42 19 09:45:42 20 09:45:43 21 09:45:47 22 09:45:48 23 09:45:53 24 09:45:55 25

```
10:15:08 1
```

10:15:11 2

10:15:13 3

10:15:14 4

10:15:16 5

10:15:18 6

10:15:21 7

10:15:24 8

10:15:28 9

10:15:30 10

10:15:32 11

10:15:35 12

10:15:37 13

10:15:39 14

10:15:43 15

10:15:47 16

10:15:50 17

10:15:50 18

10:15:51 19

10:15:56 20

10:15:57 21

10:16:00 22 Q. What has been the cumulative effect

10:16:03 23 on SAP of Oracle's acquisitions?

10:16:06 24 A. That Oracle has become a

10:16:08 25 significantly larger competitor than it was before

```
10:16:11 1 it started all of these acquisitions.
10:16:13 2
10:16:14 3
10:16:20 4
10:16:22 5
10:16:24 6
10:16:26 7
10:16:29 8
10:16:30 9
10:16:31 10
10:16:32 11
10:16:34 12
10:16:35 13
10:16:35 14
10:16:36 15
10:16:37 16
10:16:43 17
10:16:47 18
10:16:50 19
10:16:53 20
10:16:56 21
10:16:57 22
10:16:59 23
10:17:00 24
10:17:02 25
```

Page 83 10:22:39 10:22:41 10:22:42 10:22:43 10:22:43 5 10:22:45 10:22:46 7 10:22:48 8 10:22:50 9 10:22:50 10 10:22:51 11 10:22:52 12 Q. Let me turn now to a new topic, 10:23:13 13 although related in many ways to all topics, this 10:23:16 14 would be the acquisition of TomorrowNow. 10:23:21 15 Do you recall whether there was any 10:23:24 16 specific purpose that SAP had in mind when it 10:23:28 17 acquired TomorrowNow? 10:23:29 18 The acquisition of TomorrowNow was Α. 10:23:37 19 meant to facilitate the movement of customers who 10:23:44 20 so desired to move away from PeopleSoft -- from 10:23:49 21 PeopleSoft software in that particular case to 10:23:52 22 SAP. 10:23:52 23 10:23:55 24 10:23:58 25

1	I, Leo Apotheker, am a deponent in the
2	foregoing video deposition. I have read the
3	foregoing video deposition, and having made such
4	changes and corrections as I desired, I certify
5	that the transcript is a true and accurate record
6	of my responses to the questions put to me on
7	Thursday, October 2, 2008.
8	
9	,
10	
iı	
12	
13	
1.4	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	Signed
25	LEO APOTHEKER

1 CERTIFICATE OF COURT REPORTER 2 I, Frederick Weiss, CSR, CM, do hereby certify that I took the stenotype notes of the 3 foregoing deposition and that the transcript 4 thereof is a true and accurate record transcribed 5 to the best of my skill and ability. 6 7 I further certify that I am neither 8 counsel for, related to, nor employed by any of the parties to the action in which this deposition 9 10 was taken, and that I am not a relative or employee of any attorney or counsel employed by 11 the parties hereto, nor financially or otherwise 12 13 interested in the outcome of the action. 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 FREDERICK WEISS, CSR, CM 22 23 16.10.08 24 25 DATE